I, Al	AN BONJAMIN	am a registered voter
(Print name of San Diego		legal boundaries of County
Do hereby s	upport the following:	
084W, P8 2006:	cation for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staf	f recommendation dated August 25
a.	One of the two stated reasons a biological of in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns a G4 response). Allowing the project to go for trust with the public since that impact cannot allowed on the current buffer agreed to by no the original project.	about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 orward would constitute a breach of the mitigated for, should housing be
2. Vacating precedent	this viewshed and biological open space easement	ent would create a dangerous
a.		have expressed concern about what cation took place. "This sets a very in the door for future vacations of
b.	Open space, beautiful views, and nature community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds crisk from development should this vacation of	are defining characteristics of the of acres of open space potentially at
a.	currently described has potential growth inducing While the application for Unit 7 has been we 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not standevelopment in the future by selling it to a law Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to pro-	vithdrawn by a letter dated March 4, ited any plans to protect Unit 7 from and conservancy. the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is commitment from the Applicant to
A		3/23/07
Signature 1486 PA	INT MOUNTAIN AS ELFIN	Date FOLST SA
Address	City State	9
Telephone		ail

Telephone

I, _	NA	mcy	Reed	·		am a registered voter
of S	(Print name) San Diego (vice Area l			or reside with	hin the legal bo	oundaries of County
Do	hereby sup	port the	following:			
1.	The applica 084W, P85 2006:	ation for t -064W) s	he Bridges at should be den	Rancho Santa ied as per DP	Fe Unit 6 (SPA LU staff recom	01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- mendation dated August 25,
		in Unit 6 G4 respo trust wit allowed	5 in 1986 was onse). Allow h the public s	"to answer co ing the project ince that impa	oncerns about vi t to go forward ct cannot be mit	ace easement was designated sual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 would constitute a breach of igated for, should housing be s as a condition of support of
2.	precedent:	Many co their con would b frighteni hundred Open sp commun	ommunity ago mment letter e a precedent ng precedent s of acres of d pace, beautifu ity of Elfin F	encies, most nof August 5, -setting event (). It wo ledicated biolo Il views, and	otably the San 2005, have ex if the vacation to ould open the co- orical open space nature are de andreds of acres	Dieguito Planning Group in pressed concern about what took place. "This sets a very door for future vacations of e." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) fining characteristics of the sof open space potentially at
3.	a.	While the 2007 from developing Without necessar	ne application om Lennar, the ment in the fu Unit 6 there y for access	for Unit 7 has e developer has ture by selling can be no Unit to Unit 7.	as not stated any it to a land con t 7, since the bri lithout a comm	wn by a letter dated March 4, plans to protect Unit 7 from
	na	Mes	Read			May 29,2007
Sig	1990	24	ofin F	prest	lanl	Escondido (A
Add	dress	_	City		State	000 (42029
Tal	260 -	471-	-7933	<u> </u>	Monce E-mail	w titintolest. NET

I, _	<u>Lenn</u>	eth N. Hoppenro	eth	am a registered voter
(P	rint name)	County and own land or reside		houndaries of County
		107 – Elfin Forest.	within the legal	oomidaties of County
SCIVI	icc Aica	107 – Emm i Olest.		
Do h	ereby su	pport the following:		
0	84W, P8: 006:	ation for the Bridges at Rancho Sa 5-064W) should be denied as per	DPLU staff reco	ommendation dated August 25
	a.	One of the two stated reasons a in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answe G4 response). Allowing the pre trust with the public since that is allowed on the current buffer ag the original project.	er concerns about oject to go forwar npact cannot be n	visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 of would constitute a breach of nitigated for, should housing be
	acating three	nis viewshed and biological open	space easement we	ould create a dangerous
r	a.	Many community agencies, more their comment letter of Augus would be a precedent-setting every frightening precedent (). It hundreds of acres of dedicated be	t 5, 2005, have ent if the vacation would open the iological open spa	expressed concern about whan took place. "This sets a very door for future vacations on ace." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
	b.	Open space, beautiful views, community of Elfin Forest, with risk from development should the	h hundreds of acr	es of open space potentially a
3. U	Init 6 as c	urrently described has potential gr	owth inducing eff	ect:
	a. h.	While the application for Unit 2007 from Lennar, the developed development in the future by sel Without Unit 6 there can be no	er has not stated a ling it to a land co	ny plans to protect Unit 7 from onservancy.
		necessary for access to Unit 7. protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be s	Without a com	mitment from the Applicant to
<i>0</i>)0	len Horo	encall		9/13/07
Signat	ure			Date
\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	od Co	untry aub Harmony	State	CA 92029
		47-1145		
Telepl	none		E-mail	

I,	The state of	Osteen	am a registered voter
(F	Print name)		

of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

- 1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
- 2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
- 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

¥	<i>,</i> –	11 CM 11		
		Mchall	1	am a registered voter
(Print name) of San Diego Service Area	County and	own land or reside Forest.	within the legal bou	ındaries of County
Do hereby sup	pport the fol	lowing:		
1. The application 084W, P85 2006:	ation for the 5-064W) sho	Bridges at Rancho Sa uld be denied as per	nta Fe Unit 6 (SPA 0 DPLU staff recomm	1-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- endation dated August 25,
a.	in Unit 6 in G4 response trust with the	1986 was "to answer e). Allowing the pro- te public since that im the current buffer agr	concerns about visuect to go forward we pact cannot be mitigated	e easement was designated hal impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 ould constitute a breach of ated for, should housing be as a condition of support of
2. Vacating the precedent:	is viewshed	and biological open sp	pace easement would	create a dangerous
a.	their commwould be a frightening	ent letter of August precedent-setting ever	5, 2005, have expre- nt if the vacation too would open the door	ieguito Planning Group in essed concern about what ik place. "This sets a very or for future vacations of (FEIR 3/17/06 LIG)
b.	Open space community	, beautiful views, a	nd nature are defin hundreds of acres of	ing characteristics of the f open space potentially at
a.	While the ap 2007 from L development Without Uninecessary fo	ennar, the developer in the future by selling t 6 there can be no Un r access to Unit 7.	has been withdrawn has not stated any plag it to a land conservant 7, since the bridge Without a commitment	by a letter dated March 4, lans to protect Unit 7 from vancy. If from Calle Ponte Bella is ent from the Applicant to her growth in the future.
Kimeel	ma	inal		9/16/07
Signature POPY	131028	Carlebro	d CA	Date
Address		City	State	
760-84	6-046			
Telephone	<u> </u>		E-mail	_

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I, All am a registered voter of San Diego County and own land or reside in Harmony Grove or Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Nather of Sher 9/13/07
Signature Date
Address City State
765-432-6061
Telephone E-mail

I,	MY DA SILV	7	_ am a registered voter
(Print name of San Diego	County and own land or resid	e in Harmony Grove o	r Elfin Forest.
Do hereby su	pport the following:		
1. The applic 084W, P8 2006:	cation for the Bridges at Rancho 55-064W) should be denied as pe	Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01 or DPLU staff recomme	-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- indation dated August 25,
a.	One of the two stated reasons in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answ G4 response). Allowing the ptrust with the public since that allowed on the current buffer a the original project.	ver concerns about visua roject to go forward wo impact cannot be mitiga	al impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 uld constitute a <i>breach of</i> ted for, should housing be
2. Vacating to precedent:	his viewshed and biological open	space easement would o	reate a dangerous
a. b.	Many community agencies, m their comment letter of Augu would be a precedent-setting e frightening precedent (). I hundreds of acres of dedicated in	st 5, 2005, have exprevent if the vacation took t would open the door biological open space." (and nature are defining the hundreds of acres of	ssed concern about what a place. "This sets a very r for future vacations of (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) ing characteristics of the
a.	urrently described has potential g While the application for Unit 2007 from Lennar, the develop development in the future by se Without Unit 6 there can be no necessary for access to Unit 7 protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be	7 has been withdrawn been has not stated any plating it to a land conserv. Unit 7, since the bridge Without a commitme	ans to protect Unit 7 from ancy. from Calle Ponte Bella is ant from the Applicant to
_ long	Jal & lan		9/13/67
Signature			Date
Address 2573	Kaurua Coa	Halmony bows	e
740-12	2-6061	·- ,	
Telephone	J 006/	E-mail	

(Print name) am a registered voter (Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Oo hereby support the following:
. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 116) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
188
ignature Date
20248 Elf.L Forest Rd Escaled Co 92029 ddress City State
760- 491-5850 Enice Seeds con E-mail

1 Leve Barker	am a registered voter
(Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the lesservice Area 107 — Elfin Forest.	egal boundaries of County
Do hereby support the following:	

Do necept support me ronowing.

- 1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
- 2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FBIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.

3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:

While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.

Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

7604712812

	reunon	
(Print name) of San Diego	ona T. Barker County and own land or reside within the	am a registered voter he legal boundaries of County
Service Area	107 – Elfin Forest.	
Do hereby sup	pport the following:	
1. The application 084W, P85 2006:	ation for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe U 5-064W) should be denied as per DPLU s	Init 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- staff recommendation dated August 25,
a.	G4 response). Allowing the project to g	ral open space easement was designated ms about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 go forward would constitute a breach of mnot be mitigated for, should housing be by neighbors as a condition of support of
2. Vacating the precedent:	his viewshed and biological open space ease	
a.	Many community agencies, most notabe their comment letter of August 5, 200 would be a precedent-setting event if the frightening precedent (). It would hundreds of acres of dedicated biological	oly the San Dieguito Planning Group in 15, have expressed concern about what e vacation took place. "This sets a very open the door for future vacations of open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
ь.	Onen space, beautiful views, and nat	ture are defining characteristics of the eds of acres of open space potentially at
8.	2007 from Lennar, the developer has no development in the future by selling it to Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7,	ten withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, but stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from a land conservancy. Since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is but a commitment from the Applicant to
none	a T. Bully	Date E) fin forest ca State ECLLAMAS Caol.com E-mail
Signature	1 Both 1 1 tooks	TIC FORT CO
17888	FOITUNA del ESIC,	State
Address	City	STATE OF THE STATE
760	471-5559	ECLLAMAS GAOL.COM
Telephone		E-mail

	_		_
I, _	(Print na	70	am a registered voter
of	San Die	ego	County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do	hereby	sup	oport the following:
1.			ation for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25,
	2000.	a.	One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
2.	Vacatir	_	nis viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous
	Process	a.	Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
		b.	
3.	Unit 6	a.	While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
<u> </u>	Apt	Ka	Auguril 9/4/07
1	nature OS Iress	A	an Oals Rel Blan Forest CA 92029 City State
Tele	ephone	lle	(1967 Email Capa Julion Com

I, am a registered voter of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest. Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006: One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
 Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent: a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Signature 7/02 (irca de Madia Elfin Forst, A 92029 Address City State 1 crag (anol a hotmai). Com Telephone Telephone

I Mal	ae/ L. Ho/m		om a madiatana landa
(Print name)	ae / n. 110/04	<u>es</u>	am a registered voter
of San Diego (Service Area 1	County and own land or res 107 – Elfin Forest.	ide within the legal bou	indaries of County
Do hereby sup	port the following:		
1. The applica 084W, P85-2006:	tion for the Bridges at Ranch- -064W) should be denied as	o Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 0 per DPLU staff recomm	1-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- endation dated August 25
	One of the two stated reason in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to an G4 response). Allowing the trust with the public since the allowed on the current buffer the original project.	swer concerns about visu project to go forward wo at impact cannot be mitige	al impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 ould constitute a breach of ated for, should housing be
Vacating thi precedent:	s viewshed and biological ope	en space easement would	create a dangerous
	Many community agencies, their comment letter of Augwould be a precedent-setting frightening precedent (). hundreds of acres of dedicated	gust 5, 2005, have expression too event if the vacation too It would open the doc	essed concern about what k place. "This sets a very or for future vacations of
b. (Open space, beautiful view community of Elfin Forest, vrisk from development should	s, and nature are defin with hundreds of acres of	ing characteristics of the
a. 3 6 b. 3	rently described has potential While the application for Unit 2007 from Lennar, the development in the future by Without Unit 6 there can be necessary for access to Unit	it 7 has been withdrawn oper has not stated any plus selling it to a land conserve to Unit 7, since the bridge	ans to protect Unit 7 from vancy. from Calle Ponte Bella is
	protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be	e stopped to prevent furth	er growth in the future.
Michael	1. Johns		
Signature	10		Date
20020 EL	FIN Forest KD	ISC CALI	4
Address	City	State	
Telephone	·	E-mail	

I,
Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006: One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
 Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent: Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Signature CO (192029)
19665 Elji 6/en Escondido A 92029 Address City State Cold Dearthland. N
760744-6373 Odd (a) earthlust. No Telephone

I, RANK ODD am a regi	-t1t
(Print name) am a regi	stered voter
of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of C Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.	ounty
Do hereby support the following:	
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 52 084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation date 2006: 	270RPL, P85- 2d August 25,
a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement win Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitut trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition the original project.	FEIR 3/17/06 e a <i>breach of</i> ld housing be
Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dange precedent:	erous
 a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planni their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06b). Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining character community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space prisk from development should this vacation occur. 	about what is sets a very vacations of 6 116) istics of the
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter day 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.	ted March 4, Unit 7 from
b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle P necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the	Applicant to
protect Unit / Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in t	he future.
9-1	4-01/
Signature 19665 Elfin Dlen Escondido (Address State	2029
yy Sale	
760-744 - 639 3 Telephone E-mail	<u> </u>

of S	San Diego	NCESCA Ma County and own land or re 107 – Elfin Forest.	eside within the le	am a registered voter						
Do	hereby si	apport the following:								
	The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:									
	a.	in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to a G4 response). Allowing the trust with the public since the	enswer concerns ab ne project to go for hat impact cannot b	en space easement was designated out visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 ward would constitute a breach of the mitigated for, should housing be ghbors as a condition of support of						
	Vacating precedent	this viewshed and biological o	pen space easemen	t would create a dangerous						
	a.	Many community agencies their comment letter of A would be a precedent-setting frightening precedent () hundreds of acres of dedication open space, beautiful vices.	august 5, 2005, haring event if the vacable. It would open ted biological open ews, and nature as, with hundreds of	San Dieguito Planning Group in we expressed concern about what tion took place. "This sets a very the door for future vacations of space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) we defining characteristics of the acres of open space potentially at our.						
3.	a. b.	2007 from Lennar, the dev development in the future be Without Unit 6 there can be necessary for access to Unit 7, Unit 6 has to	Unit 7 has been with eloper has not state by selling it to a land e no Unit 7, since that 7. Without a cobe stopped to prev	hdrawn by a letter dated March 4, d any plans to protect Unit 7 from						
<u> </u>	5	rancesco M.	, Caldo	Sept 14-07						
_	ature	FIEIN GIEN	ECCONION	Date						
Add	ress	ELFIN GLEN City	State	IV LA						
<u>17</u>	60 ~	744-2323		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
rele	pnone	•	E-mai							

		OLSEN	am a r	egistered voter
(Print name) of San Diego Service Area	County ar		within the legal boundaries o	f County
Do hereby su	pport the f	following:		
1. The applic 084W, P8 2006:	cation for th 5-064W) sl	e Bridges at Rancho San nould be denied as per	nta Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM DPLU staff recommendation	1 5270RPL, P85-dated August 25,
2006: a.	in Unit 6 G4 respo trust with allowed c	in 1986 was "to answernse). Allowing the project the public since that in	biological open space easemer r concerns about visual impact ject to go forward would cons spact cannot be mitigated for, s eed to by neighbors as a condi	s" (FEIR 3/17/06 titute a <i>breach of</i> hould housing be
		d and biological open s	pace easement would create a d	langerous
precedent: a. b.	Many co their con would be frightenir hundreds Open spe communi	ament letter of August a precedent-setting even ag precedent (). It of acres of dedicated bit ace, beautiful views, a	st notably the San Dieguito P 5, 2005, have expressed corent if the vacation took place. would open the door for fur ological open space." (FEIR 3/ and nature are defining chara hundreds of acres of open spaces vacation occur.	ncern about what "This sets a very ture vacations of 17/06 I16) acteristics of the
a.	While the 2007 from developing Without necessary	n Lennar, the developed thent in the future by sell Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7.	bowth inducing effect: has been withdrawn by a letter has not stated any plans to pring it to a land conservancy. Juit 7, since the bridge from Constitution of the without a commitment from topped to prevent further growth.	rotect Unit 7 from alle Ponte Bella is the Applicant to
John Signature	D Ol	ia-	Se,	<u>A 14,2007</u> Date
19918	ELF	IN FOREST	Ri) ESCUMDIDU State	CA
Address		City		
768 85 Telephone	8-534	1-2201	TOLSENKK E-mail	B HOTMAIL CON

I, am a registered voter of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
 Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent: a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the
community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur. 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Signature) 20020 ELFIN FORST Rd ESCONDICTZ Address City State
Telephone E-mail

I, <u>CARO</u>	LYN	MARIE	SWE	NERTO	\vee	am a registered vote
(Print name	e)		***			
of San Diego	Count	ty and own la	and or resid	e within the	legal bou	ndaries of County
Service Area					•	•

Do hereby support the following:

- 1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
- 2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
- 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Car	olyn Marce Swen	erfor 9-13-07
Signature	J	Date
19992	Elfin Forest Rd	Escondido CA 92029
Address	City	State
760-1	171-4312	jeffswenerton a AOL com
Telephone		E-mail

I, fixerestor am a registered voter (Frist name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006: One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
 2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent: a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future. Signature Date Date
Address City State State 1999 E-mail Colombials 17 2027 Address City State

I, _	TA (Print nar	LQUELINE	ARSIVAUD-BI	NIMAZKI	am a registered voter
of S	San Die	•	own land or reside or reside or st.	within the legal bot	undaries of County
Do	hereby	support the foll	owing:		
1.	The app 084W, 12006:	olication for the I P85-064W) show	Bridges at Rancho Sa Ild be denied as per	nta Fe Unit 6 (SPA (DPLU staff recomn	01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- nendation dated August 25,
		in Unit 6 in G4 response trust with the	1986 was "to answer). Allowing the pro- e public since that im he current buffer agr	r concerns about visi ject to go forward w spact cannot be mitig	te easement was designated that impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 would constitute a breach of the gated for, should housing be as a condition of support of
	Vacating preceder		nd biological open sp	oace easement would	create a dangerous
	-	a. Many common their common would be a parting frightening	ent letter of August precedent-setting ever	5, 2005, have expr nt if the vacation too would open the do	pieguito Planning Group in ressed concern about what ok place. "This sets a very or for future vacations of CFEIR 3/17/06 I16)
	1	b. Open space, community of	beautiful views, a	nd nature are defir hundreds of acres o	ning characteristics of the f open space potentially at
3.	ŧ	a. While the ap 2007 from L development b. Without Unit necessary for	ennar, the developer in the future by selling to there can be no Unit 7.	has been withdrawn has not stated any p ng it to a land conser nit 7, since the bridg Without a commitm	by a letter dated March 4, lans to protect Unit 7 from vancy. e from Calle Ponte Bella is nent from the Applicant to her growth in the future.
	Di	P-			9/12/07
Sign	the f				Date
1	486	PAINT MOU	NTAIN ROAD City	ELFIN FOREST	CA
Addr	ess		City	State	
Teler	hone	·		E-mail	

of	(Print name)	County	and ow				am a registered voter
Do	hereby sup	port th	e follow	ring:			
1.	084W, P85	ation for 5-064W)	the Brid	iges at Ranc be denied a	ho Santa Fe U s per DPLU	Jnit 6 (SPA 01-c staff recommen	004, TM 5270RPL, P85- dation dated August 25,
	2006: a.	in Unit G4 res trust w allowe	t 6 in 19 ponse).	86 was "to a Allowing thoublic since to current buff	inswer concer ne project to a that impact ca	ms about visual go forward wou nnot be mitigate	easement was designated impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 ald constitute a breach of ed for, should housing be a condition of support of
2.	precedent:	Many their of would frighte hundre Open comm	communicomment be a pro- ning pro- eds of ac- space, unity of	nity agencies t letter of A ecedent-settin ecedent () res of dedica beautiful vio Elfin Fores	s, most notable ugust 5, 200 and event if the lit would ated biological ews, and nate	oly the San Die 15, have express e vacation took open the door l open space." (I cure are defining eds of acres of	reate a dangerous eguito Planning Group in seed concern about what place. "This sets a very for future vacations of FEIR 3/17/06 I16) ng characteristics of the open space potentially at
3.	a.	While 2007 i develo Witho	the app from Ler opment i ut Unit sary for	lication for hanar, the deven the future of there can baccess to U	Unit 7 has be reloper has no by selling it to be no Unit 7, so it 7. Without 7.	ot stated any pla o a land conserve since the bridge out a commitme	by a letter dated March 4, ans to protect Unit 7 from rancy. I from Calle Ponte Bella is cent from the Applicant to er growth in the future.
G:.	gnature	<u> </u>	40	<u></u>			9/13/07 Date
71	D) iv	a D	· Me	diz Es	CONDIDO	<u>CA</u> State	97029
<u>(</u>	19-5°	49-	39 30)		E-mail \	p@fr.cor

of S	(Print name) San Diego	•	own land or reside	e within the legal bot	am a registered voter undaries of County	
Do	hereby su	pport the foll	lowing:			
		One of the in Unit 6 in G4 response trust with the	two stated reasons 1986 was "to answe). Allowing the properties properly the current buffer a	a biological open space wer concerns about vis roject to go forward visimpact cannot be mitigated.	01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- nendation dated August 25 ce easement was designated that impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06) would constitute a breach of gated for, should housing be as a condition of support of	, 1 5 <i>f</i>
	precedent: a.	Many communitheir community would be a frightening hundreds of Open space community	nunity agencies, ment letter of Augu precedent-setting e precedent (). If acres of dedicated e, beautiful views,	st 5, 2005, have exp vent if the vacation to it would open the do biological open space. and nature are defi th hundreds of acres of	Dieguito Planning Group in ressed concern about what bok place. "This sets a very our for future vacations of	t / f
3.	a.	While the a 2007 from I developmen Without Uninecessary for	pplication for Unit Lennar, the develop it in the future by se it 6 there can be no or access to Unit 7	er has not stated any publing it to a land conse Unit 7, since the bridge. Without a commit	n by a letter dated March 4, plans to protect Unit 7 from	i i
Signa Addr	Posepi ature 197 ess	L KI CANYON	0	ElFIN for	9-15-07 Date	.92029
Telep	8- 75 phone	9-9115		<u>」/</u> アル、 E-mail	SSODPV & YANG	o.Com

I	JOHN	DILL	am a registered voter
(Print nam			
	go County as a 107 – Elfi		side within the legal boundaries of County
Do hereby s	support the	following:	
	P85-064W) s	hould be denied as	no Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- s per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25,
1	in Unit 6 G4 respo trust with allowed	in 1986 was "to a nse). Allowing the the public since the	ns a biological open space easement was designated inswer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 e project to go forward would constitute a breach of the impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be a greed to by neighbors as a condition of support of
2. Vacating		ed and biological o	pen space easement would create a dangerous
	their cor would be frighteni hundreds	nment letter of Andreas a precedent-setting precedent (). To facres of dedicate	most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in agust 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what g event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very It would open the door for future vacations of ed biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
1	commun	ity of Elfin Forest,	ws, and nature are defining characteristics of the with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at ld this vacation occur.
3. Unit 6 a:	s currently de	escribed has potenti	al growth inducing effect:
	2007 fro develops	m Lennar, the deve nent in the future by	nit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, cloper has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from y selling it to a land conservancy.
,	necessar	y for access to Un	no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is it 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
	ahm	Dal.	09-12-07
Signature			Date
7909 FA	RAWAY LA	NE, ESCONDID	0, CA 92029-4801 State
Address		City	State
(760) 736 Telephone	-4333		jdil 2578@ student.palomar.edu
			· ·

I,(Print) of San D Service A	iego	OUGLAS County and own la 107 – Elfin Forest.	DILL- nd or reside withi	n the legal bour	_ am a registered voter	
Do hereb	y su	pport the following	:			
1. The a 084W 2006:	, P8:	5-064W) should be of One of the two states	denied as per DPL ted reasons a biolo	U staff recomme gical open space	1-004, TM 5270RPL, P85- endation dated August 25,	
		G4 response). Alto trust with the public	owing the project to since that impact ent buffer agreed to	o go forward wo cannot be mitiga	al impacts" (FER 3/17/06 puld constitute a breach of the for, should housing be a condition of support of	r
2. Vacati	ing th	nis viewshed and biol	ogical open space o	easement would	create a dangerous	
,	a.	their comment lette would be a precede frightening precede hundreds of acres of Open space, beauti	or of August 5, 20 nt-setting event if nt (). It would dedicated biologic iful views, and n Forest, with hund	005, have expre the vacation tool d open the door al open space." (ature are defini- reds of acres of	eguito Planning Group in issed concern about what k place. "This sets a very r for future vacations of (FEIR 3/17/06 116) ing characteristics of the open space potentially at	
3. Unit 6	a.	2007 from Lennar, to development in the to Without Unit 6 there necessary for access	on for Unit 7 has be the developer has no future by selling it to be can be no Unit 7, so to Unit 7. With	cen withdrawn be to stated any place a land conserve since the bridge out a commitme	by a letter dated March 4, ans to protect Unit 7 from ancy. from Calle Ponte Bella is ent from the Applicant to er growth in the future.	
X.	ug	las XX	Dill	(09-12-07	
Signature					Date	
7909 Address	_F/	ARAWAY LAN City	IE, ESCON	DIDO, CA	92029-4801	
(760) - Telephone	734	- 4333		doug.di	ill Eupridnet.a	.H.ne

I, FUZABETH DILL am a registered voter (Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Edysleth O Dill 09-12-07
Signature Date 7909 FARALIAY LANG ESCONDING CA G2028 4002
7909 FARAWAY LANE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92029-4807 Address City State
(760) 736-4333 mslizzy@worldnet.att.ne Telephone Mslizzy@worldnet.att.ne

I, am a registered voter (Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
9/14/07
TIOI CIRCA DE MEDIA Escondido CA 92021
Address City State 100.744-9500 Telephone E-mail

I,	CHRIS	CASSAPAKIS	am	a registered voter
	(Print name)			0.0
		ounty and own land or reside wi	thin the legal boundarie	es of County
Se	rvice Area 10	07 – Elfin Forest.		
Do	o hereby supp	port the following:		
1.	The application of the second	ion for the Bridges at Rancho Santa 064W) should be denied as per Di	i Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004 PLU staff recommendat	ion dated August 25,
	a. (One of the two stated reasons a bid in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer of G4 response). Allowing the project trust with the public since that impallowed on the current buffer agree the original project.	oncerns about visual im to go forward would on act cannot be mitigated f	pacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 constitute a breach of for, should housing be
2.		s viewshed and biological open spa	ce easement would creat	e a dangerous
	precedent:	Many community agencies, most	notably the San Dieguit	to Planning Group in
٠		their comment letter of August 5 would be a precedent-setting event frightening precedent (). It whundreds of acres of dedicated biole	, 2005, have expressed if the vacation took pla ould open the door for	concern about what ce. "This sets a very future vacations of
		Open space, beautiful views, and community of Elfin Forest, with harisk from development should this	undreds of acres of ope	
3	Unit 6 as cu	rrently described has potential grow	th inducing effect:	
٥.	a. b.	While the application for Unit 7 h 2007 from Lennar, the developer h development in the future by sellin Without Unit 6 there can be no Un necessary for access to Unit 7. V protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stop	as been withdrawn by a las not stated any plans to g it to a land conservancy it 7, since the bridge from Without a commitment of	to protect Unit 7 from y. m Calle Ponte Bella is from the Applicant to
	11	// A ,		
		· lasses		9-14-07
<u></u>	MIN	· Vasingamen		Date
	gnature			
	1408 P	AINT MOUNTAIN RI City	ESCONDIDI	0 CA. 92029
A	ddress	City	State	
:	760-75	Z-9977	cassasak	ispmsn.com
	elephone		E-mail	

I,	5ue	DV	awdy	_	_ am a registered voter
of San	и паше)	nd own land	/ .	in the legal bour	daries of County
Do her	eby support the	following:			
1. The 084	IW, P85-064W) s	he Bridges at should be der	Rancho Santa F nied as per DPL	e Unit 6 (SPA 01 U staff recomme	-004, TM 5270RPL, P85 ndation dated August 25
	in Unit 6 G4 respo trust with allowed	o in 1986 was onse). Allow the public s	to answer con ing the project t ince that impact	cerns about visua o go forward wor cannot be mitigat	easement was designated limpacts" (FEIR 3/17/00 ald constitute a breach of the ded for, should housing be a condition of support of
2. Vac	eating this viewsh	ed and biolog	ical open space	easement would c	reate a dangerous
· P	a. Many contheir continuous frightening hundreds b. Open spontinuous communications	ament letter a precedent- ig precedent of acres of d ace, beautifu ty of Elfin F	of August 5, 2 setting event if (). It woul edicated biological views, and n	005, have express the vacation took dopen the door cal open space." (ature are defining leds of acres of	guito Planning Group in seed concern about what place. "This sets a very for future vacations of FEIR 3/17/06 I16) ag characteristics of the open space potentially at
3. Unit	2007 from developm b. Without Unecessary	e application In Lennar, the Internation the fut Juit 6 there co Tor access t	for Unit 7 has be developer has a ure by selling it an be no Unit 7, o Unit 7.	een withdrawn be not stated any place to a land conservations since the bridge tout a commitment	y a letter dated March 4, ns to protect Unit 7 from ancy. from Calle Ponte Bella is nt from the Applicant to r growth in the future.
91		2			
Signature 14-2 Address	7 Pa	PINT City	MM	Rd	Date
<i>EL</i>	.P171	POR	——————————————————————————————————————		
Telephone 4	5,378 7	963		E-mail	_

I, <u>Janet L. Denny</u>, am a registered voter of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.

Do hereby support the following:

- 1. The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006:
 - a. One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
- 2. Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent:
 - a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (...). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16)
 - b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
- 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect:
 - a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy.
 - b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council Bridges Unit 6 Petition

I, Michael /or am a registered voter (Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.
Do hereby support the following:
 The application for the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe Unit 6 (SPA 01-004, TM 5270RPL, P85-084W, P85-064W) should be denied as per DPLU staff recommendation dated August 25, 2006: One of the two stated reasons a biological open space easement was designated in Unit 6 in 1986 was "to answer concerns about visual impacts" (FEIR 3/17/06 G4 response). Allowing the project to go forward would constitute a breach of trust with the public since that impact cannot be mitigated for, should housing be allowed on the current buffer agreed to by neighbors as a condition of support of the original project.
 Vacating this viewshed and biological open space easement would create a dangerous precedent: a. Many community agencies, most notably the San Dieguito Planning Group in their comment letter of August 5, 2005, have expressed concern about what would be a precedent-setting event if the vacation took place. "This sets a very frightening precedent (). It would open the door for future vacations of hundreds of acres of dedicated biological open space." (FEIR 3/17/06 I16) b. Open space, beautiful views, and nature are defining characteristics of the community of Elfin Forest, with hundreds of acres of open space potentially at risk from development should this vacation occur.
 3. Unit 6 as currently described has potential growth inducing effect: a. While the application for Unit 7 has been withdrawn by a letter dated March 4, 2007 from Lennar, the developer has not stated any plans to protect Unit 7 from development in the future by selling it to a land conservancy. b. Without Unit 6 there can be no Unit 7, since the bridge from Calle Ponte Bella is necessary for access to Unit 7. Without a commitment from the Applicant to protect Unit 7, Unit 6 has to be stopped to prevent further growth in the future.
Signature 6949 Elfh Oak Rd Efin Furest CA 92029
Address City State, 760-752-7787 Telephone Shawn @ rusty crow, com E-mail

Elfin Forest / Harmony Grove Town Council Bridges Unit 6 Petition

TE.

	_				΄,
I,	ruce	Mueller		am a	registered voter
(Print name) of San Diego County and own land or reside within the legal boundaries of County Service Area 107 – Elfin Forest.					
Do hereb	y support th	ne following:			
	, P85-064W		cho Santa Fe Unit 6 (S as per DPLU staff rec		
a. O in A si	one of the two 1986 was Illowing the ince that im	"to answer concerns project to go forwa pact cannot be miti	ological open space ears about visual impacts and would constitute a gated for, should hou condition of support or	" (FEIR 3/17 breach of tru sing be allow	/06 G4 response). est with the public ed on the current
2. Vacati		shed and biological	open space easement w	vould create a	dangerous
а.	Many comment le precedent-se precedent (. dedicated bi Open space, of Elfin Fo	etter of August 5, 2 etting event if the). It would open ological open space, beautiful views, an	ost notably the San Di 005, have expressed of vacation took place. the door for future va "(FEIR 3/17/06 I16) d nature are defining of s of acres of open n occur.	concern about "This sets a cations of huncharacteristics	what would be a very frightening ndreds of acres of of the community
a. b.	While the ap from Lenna developmen Without Un necessary for	pplication for Unit far, the developer let in the future by selut 6 there can be not access to Unit 7.	tial growth inducing ef 7 has been withdrawn has not stated any p ling it to a land conserve to Unit 7, since the browith without a commitment to prevent further grow	by a letter date plans to proto prot	ect Unit 7 from alle Ponte Bella is pplicant to protect
25		mp			110/07
Signature					Date
18/2	Country	Club Dr.	Escondido State	CA	92029
		-	State	•	
(760)	432-8	0774			
Telephone			E-mail		



December 4, 2007

Dear Bridges Club Member.

Here at the Bridges, it has been one of our major goals for many year driving range facility, which will no doubt enhance the experience for The process to gain the necessary approvals for the expansion has been and will continue to require the use of all the resources available to us accomplish this objective. Fortunately, we are happy to report to all o reached a major milestone in the process. On Monday, December 3, 2 official notice that we are scheduled for public hearing with the Count Planning Commission. We are also happy to report that we have obtain support. This is great news for all of us!

The following is information regarding the scheduled meeting:

Planning Commission Hearing Date: Friday, December 14, 2007

Time: 9:00 am

Location: Department of Planning and Land Use Hearing Room, 520

Suite B, San Diego, CA 92123

With the Planning Commission Hearing next week it is now time for help in this process. We have attached a petition which supports our issue. We are requesting that you sign the petition and return it to us r Tuesday, December 11, 2007 to the address below. For your conveni blank petitions at the Concierge desk as well as the Sales Pavilion. If feel free to deliver your petition to the Concierge or front gate.

The Bridges
PO Box 1322
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067
Attn: Ken Ayers

Ms. Maggie Loy County of San Diego 5201 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123



San Diego County Planning Commission

RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO

1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND

2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES

Dear Ms. Loy:

I reside at 3086 Wildflower Drive, Olivenhain, CA in Wildflower Estates, a community adjacent to the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe golf club and community. I have lived in this neighborhood for seven and one half years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges has been and is a good neighbor. It is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings. Its proximity to my home and our development has been an asset to our increased property values and to the area's tax base.

I am able to see the Bridges from my home, and I am not concerned that the expansion to the driving range, as well as the addition of five new homes, will significantly or negatively affect my view. On the contrary, I think the opposite is true. I believe the clubhouse and the golf course have enhanced the view from my home, adding a very interesting component to my vista, and I am confident these additional elements will not significantly eliminate this from view.

The very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five home sites is being mitigated by replacing with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five home sites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways.

For these reasons, I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new home sites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Ramos

Joseph M. Ramos 3086 Wildflower Drive Olivenhain, CA 92024

Home Telephone: 858-759-7090 Mobile Telephone: 858-361-3569



Mr. David P. Kreitzer
County of San Diego Planning County
5201 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123

December 10, 2007

RE: The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe proposal for the driving range expansion and five new homesites

Dear Mr. Kreitzer:

I am writing you to let you know that I strongly support The Bridges proposal. I have been a property owner and resident of The Bridges for six years.

The club has been an exceptional model for planned communities and considered the premier golf club in San Diego County, if not Southern California. As such, the Bridges has attracted both tax revenues and significant local business revenue from both its resident and non resident members. Additionally, we have been very good community advocates and neighbors through charity event participation and community recognition from numerous nationally televised events.

The driving range expansion will further enhance The Bridges world-class reputation and add even more value to the community of Rancho Santa Fe and San Diego County, while the addition of the five homesites will be consistent with the existing quality of the club with no adverse affect on its surroundings.

For the above reasons I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new homesites and thank you in advance for your consideration of this proposal.

Sincerely,

Brad Mason

6284 Strada Fragante

Blad Mason

Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

County of San Diego Planning Commissioners c/o Department of Planning and Land Use 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B San Diego, CA 92123



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!	12/10/07
Signature	Date
KIR DOVE	
Name (Printed)	
18446 (alle La	SerA
Address	

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).


The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this prop	oosal!	12/07/07
Signature		Date
ROBERT EN	GMAN	
Name (Printed)		
6593 CALLE RE	-INA PANCH	O SANTA FE
Address		CA 92067

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel – County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator – Department of Planning and Land Use

CC:



5

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal?

Almon Johnson 12-10-07

Signature Date

18414 Calle La Seppa, Rancho Santat

Address 92091

Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel – County of San Diego

Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this p	roposal!	12/4/07
Signature		Date
IRA M	ROBB	
Name (Printed)		
4702 EL	MIRAR	RAWCHO SAWTO
Address		^
		Fe 92067
CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth	District Supervisor	

Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego

Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Us



San Diego County Planning Commission

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).


The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to	wote YES on this proposa	al!		
; (Jolth a.			
Signature				Date
	Tude and	Sid La	ine	
Name (Printed)				
	P0817	RSF	Ca 921	067
Address		• •		

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

DEFARTMENT OF PLANNING - 440 -



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe. I enthusiast: proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at Ti known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TI 084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-025 0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and arcl the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its st Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest chan within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with miti impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.


The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the go the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. I members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

| Milliam Bonsani
| Signature |
| MILLIAM BORSARI
| Name (Printed)

| POBOX 67593 | RANCHO SANTA FE CA92067 |
| Address (17/22 VIA BARRANCA DEZ ZORRO)

Wednesday, December 05, 2007 AOL: Wm Borsari



Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE


As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal! Signature	12/6/07 Date	_
RICHARD BLOCH Name (Printed) 17872 VIA DEFORTIUNA Address	BRANCHO	SANTA FE

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!

Signature

Name (Printed)

Date

Date

Name (Printed)

Address

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!	
Don Olishant	12/5/07
Signature	Date
Dow Oliphant	
Name (Printed)	1
PO Box 446 , 6515 Mimulus	Roncho Santa Fe, CA
Address	92067

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use



CC:

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

Tencourage you to vote YES on this proposal!	
Jeffer Z. Jack	12/5/07
JEFFREY E. STIFTEN	Date
Name (Printed)	
6969 CONTE SPADNA RAMENTO Address	SANTA E, CA 92067

Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator - Department of Planning and Land Use



Members of the San Diego County Planning Commission:

I am writing in support of the recent request by the Bridges of Rancho Santa Fe to create 5 new building sites and provide a modest expansion to the existing driving range.

The proposal, as I understand it, should be approved for the following reasons:

1. It conforms to the low-density character of the existing community and the approved Specific Plan.

2. Five new homes will not significantly adversely impact the existing county infrastructure or the quality of life of the community.

3. Additional habitat conservation contemplated by this application should adequately provide mitigation for the minor habitat impacts of the five lots.

4. The new homes and site design will be consistent with the balance of the community and will provide "shelter in place" dwellings that have been proven safe during the recent fires.

It is my opinion that the quality of development and the overall low density of the Bridges has served and will continue to serve as a model for future development of areas of the county. Your vote will permit a small amount of new quality development while allowing the vision for the Bridges to continue its evolution.

15.8.51

Fred M. Arbuckle

18451 Calle La Serra

Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

cc: Chairman Roberts, 4th District Supervisor
Vice Chairman Greg Cox, 1st District Supervisor
Bill Horn, 5th District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, 2nd District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, 3rd District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator

Members of the San Diego County Planning Commission:

I am writing in support of the recent request by the Bridges of Rancho Santa Fe to create 5 new building sites and provide a modest expansion to the existing driving range.

The proposal, as I understand it, should be approved for the following reasons:

- 1. It conforms to the low-density character of the existing community and the approved Specific Plan.
- 2. Five new homes will not significantly adversely impact the existing county infrastructure or the quality of life of the community.
- 3. Additional habitat conservation contemplated by this application should adequately provide mitigation for the minor habitat impacts of the five lots.
- 4. The new homes and site design will be consistent with the balance of the community and will provide "shelter in place" dwellings that have been proven safe during the recent fires.

It is my opinion that the quality of development and the overall low density of the Bridges has served and will continue to serve as a model for future development of areas of the county. Your vote will permit a small amount of new quality development while allowing the vision for the Bridges to continue its evolution.

Sincerely,

Helery J. Arbuckle

19-8-61

18451 Calle La Serra

Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92091

cc: Chairman Roberts, 4th District Supervisor
Vice Chairman Greg Cox, 1st District Supervisor
Bill Horn, 5th District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, 2nd District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, 3rd District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel
Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator

Tim and Molly Oitzman 6670 Calle Ponte Bella Rancho Santa Fe, California 92091

December 12, 2007

Mr. David P. Kreitzer County of San Diego Planning Commission 5201 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123

RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO

1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND 2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES

Dear Mr. Krietzer:

I am a resident of the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe. I have been a resident for nearly 6 years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings.

We are very confident that the expansion of the driving range will enhance the golf club. At the same time, the modest addition of five homes will be fully compatible with the surrounding community.

The modest expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf club, enabling the Bridges to reach its full potential as a world-glass golf course. Members of the golf club have expressed strong opinions regarding the need for improving the current substandard driving range.

I understand that the very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five homesites is being mitigated by replacing it with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five homesites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways. Additionally, these five new residents will travel past my home daily to access their property and I support these new homes because I feel they will further enhance our community.

For these reasons, I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new homesites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.

Sincerely,

Tim Oitzman

Ms. Maggie Loy County of San Diego 5201 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123

RE: THE BRIDGES AT RANCHO SANTA FE PROPOSAL TO

- 1) EXPAND DRIVING RANGE AND
- 2) CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMES

Dear Ms. Loy:

I reside at 3086 Wildflower Drive, Olivenhain, CA in Wildflower Estates, a community adjacent to the Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe golf club and community. I have lived in this neighborhood for seven and one half years.

I am writing to express my support for the proposed expansion to the golf Driving Range, as well as for the proposed five new homes known as Unit 6.

The Bridges has been and is a good neighbor. It is a first rate planned community, which has been planned and executed reflecting the highest possible standards in all aspects, both as to the community itself as well as its surroundings. Its proximity to my home and our development has been an asset to our increased property values and to the area's tax base.

I am able to see the Bridges from my home, and I am not concerned that the expansion to the driving range, as well as the addition of five new homes, will significantly or negatively affect my view. On the contrary, I think the opposite is true. I believe the clubhouse and the golf course have enhanced the view from my home, adding a very interesting component to my vista, and I am confident these additional elements will not significantly eliminate this from view.

The very small area of open space in Unit 6 being converted into five home sites is being mitigated by replacing with four times as much habitat and open space, at a location which is environmentally superior. The five home sites are located entirely within the confines of the Bridges community, have been planned with the highest sensitivity to neighboring properties, and are solely accessed through the Bridges' internal roadways.

For these reasons, I strongly support the Bridges' expansion of the driving range, as well as the creation of five new home sites, collectively known as Alternative C of the proposed project's Environmental Impact Report.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Ramos

Joseph M. Ramos 3086 Wildflower Drive Olivenhain, CA 92024

Home Telephone: 858-759-7090 Mobile Telephone: 858-361-3569

Dear County of San Diego Planning Commissioners,

I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THE DRIVING RANGE AND CONSTRUCT FIVE NEW HOMESITES AT THE BRIDGES @ RANCHO SANTA FE

As a resident and/or member of The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe, I enthusiastically support the proposal to expand the Driving Range and construct five new homesites at The Bridges otherwise known as Alternative C of the Environmental Impact Report (applications: TM5270, MUP 85-084W-5, MUP 064-W4, SPA 01-004, SPA 03-006, VAC 03-018, B/C 03-0250, and B/C 03-0221).

The Bridges at Rancho Santa Fe has, from the outset, had a land plan and architecture throughout the community which reflects the highest quality and compatibility with its surroundings. Conversion of one parcel in Unit 6 into five new homesites are a modest change, entirely located within the confines of The Bridges Specific Plan, and is being done with mitigation for all impacts and with the highest possible sensitivity to neighboring properties.

The expansion of the driving range will fulfill a missing component of the golf course, and enable the Bridges to reach its full potential as a complete world-class golf facility. In addition, the golf members really need this improvement.

I encourage you to vote YES on this proposal!	
Signature No.	12/5/07 Date
PATRICK ROSE Name (Printed)	Ducy
P.O. BOX 7242, Parigle Santa FE, CA, Address	92067
Address	

CC: Chairman Ron Roberts, Forth District Supervisor
Vice-Chairman Greg Cox, First District Supervisor
Bill Horn, Fifth District Supervisor
Dianne Jacob, Second District Supervisor
Pam Slater-Price, Third District Supervisor
Eric Gibson, Interim Planning Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Glenn Russell, Interim Deputy Director - Department of Planning and Land Use
Claudia Anzures, Senior Deputy Counsel - County of San Diego

Maggie Loy, Environmental Coordinator – Department of Planning and Land Land

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING -